arXiv:1608.06104v2 [hep-ph] 13 Jan 2017

Meson-baryon effective chiral Lagrangians at order p^4

Shao-Zhou Jiang,[1,2,*] Qing-Sen Chen,[1,†] and Yan-Rui Liu[3,‡]

[1] *Department of Physics and GXU-NAOC Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, People's Republic of China*
[2] *Guangxi Key Laboratory for the Relativistic Astrophysics, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, People's Republic of China*
[3] *School of Physics and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China*

We construct the three-flavor Lorentz-invariant meson-baryon chiral Lagrangians at the order p^4, with which a full one-loop investigation may be performed. One obtains 540 independent terms. The processes with the minimal number of mesons and photons that this order Lagrangians may contribute to are also presented.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Fe, 11.30.Rd, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

It is difficult for us to deal with strong interactions with the underlying QCD in the low energy region because of the nonperturbative effects. Thanks to the chiral symmetry of QCD and its spontaneous breaking, we may equivalently describe low-energy physics involving pseudoscalar pions with an effective theory at hadron level, chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [1–4]. The perturbative expansion is organized with the pion momentum (p) and the description avoids the complex interactions between quarks and gluons. In this effective field theory, the Lagrangian contains all chirally invariant terms. According to Weinberg's power counting rules, the needed number of terms is finite when one calculates the T-matrix of a process to the required order, because the theory is renormalised order by order. At present, the chiral Lagrangians (CLs) of pseudoscalar mesons have been constructed up to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ (two-loop level) for both normal and anomalous parts [2, 3, 5–12]. They contain the whole 16 bilinear light-quark currents (scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector, and tensor) of the special unitary group and the unitary group.

Matter fields (baryons, heavy mesons, etc.) can be introduced into the framework of chiral perturbation theory with the transformation of $SU(2)_V$ or $SU(3)_V$. For the pion-nucleon interaction, the relevant CLs have been obtained up to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ (one-loop level) [13–17]. To study the pion-hyperon interaction in a model-independent approach, one needs the $SU(3)$ meson-baryon CLs. At present, the terms at the order $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ have been obtained [18, 19]. However, the full one-loop level investigation needs next-order terms.

The inclusion of nucleons in the chiral perturbation theory makes the chiral expansion of p/Λ_χ problematic because both the baryon mass m_N and the scale of chiral symmetry breaking Λ_χ are around 1 GeV. This problem is cured in the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT), where the large parameter m_N is eliminated and an exact power counting rule exists. Although the expansion is convergent and the theory works well in the pion-nucleon system [15, 20–22], the convergence in the kaon-hyperon systems is slow or violated [23]. In the three-flavor meson-baryon scattering processes at threshold, several Weinberg-Tomozawa terms vanish and the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ calculation may answer whether the heavy baryon formalism still works or not in these channels. To recover the relativistic formulation of the theory, the infrared regularization scheme [24, 25] and the extended on-mass-shell (EOMS) renormalization scheme [26] are proposed. The latter scheme seems to work well [27–39]. Since the $SU(3)$ baryon ChPT involves the larger kaon mass, further explorations with the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Lagrangians are helpful to understand the convergence of the chiral expansion.

In baryon ChPT, not all interaction terms at the same order contribute to the T-matrix of a special process. There are studies involving high order interactions in the literature although the complete Lagrangian is not known. In Refs. [40–43], the nucleon masses were calculated up to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ (two-loop level). In Ref. [44], the ground-state octet baryon masses and sigma terms were studied up to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ where seven $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ terms are involved. The electromagnetic form factors of the ground state baryon octet were also studied up to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ with five terms at that order [45]. Needless to say, the complete $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ chiral Lagrangians which are constructed systematically are helpful for further studies of meson-baryon processes.

* jsz@gxu.edu.cn
† chenqs16@mails.jlu.edu.cn
‡ yrliu@sdu.edu.cn

An obvious obstacle in the application of ChPT is the determination of the parameters in the Lagrangian, the so-called low energy constants (LECs). In principle, once the parameters are determined up to some chiral orders, they are applicable to all processes to those orders. Because of the difficulty in low energy QCD, one usually extracts the LECs by fitting experimental data, a feasible method to determine them. It seems that we still need more meson-baryon scattering data and high order Lagrangians are not useful. However, efforts have been tried to determine all the LECs from QCD in the meson sector [46–49] and similar study in the baryon sector is on the way. Various models and symmetries are also used to constrain the values of LECs or relations between them [50–53]. These studies make further investigations with the baryon ChPT possible although the number of LECs becomes large for high order Lagrangians. With the development of computing capacity, the lattice simulation or other numerical calculations will be helpful to fix the LECs. Now, the investigations with ChPT in the meson sector at two loops are relatively easy to perform once the LECs are known [54]. In the baryon sector, similar investigations are also possible. The starting point for such studies is, of course, the meson-baryon chiral Lagrangian.

In this paper, we would like to complete the Lagrangians of the $SU(3)$ meson-baryon chiral perturbation theory to the one-loop $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ order. Deeper understanding on the low-energy meson-baryon interactions needs these terms. This work is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we review the building blocks for the construction of the chiral Lagrangians. In Sec. III, a systematic method for the construction is introduced, which is based on the properties of the building blocks and the linear relations to the final results. In Sec. IV, we list our results and give a discussion. Section V is a short summary.

II. BUILDING BLOCKS OF CHIRAL LAGRANGIANS

The QCD Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}^0_{\rm QCD} + \bar{q}(\not{v} + \not{a}\gamma_5 - s + ip\gamma_5)q \ , \tag{1}$$

where $\mathscr{L}^0_{\rm QCD}$ is the original QCD lagrangian, q denotes the quark field, and s, p, v^μ, and a^μ denote scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector external sources, respectively. Conventionally, we ignore the tensor source and the θ term here.

If the light quarks are massless, the QCD Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}^0_{\rm QCD}$ exhibits a global $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ chiral symmetry. In the description of the effective chiral Lagrangiang, the Goldstone bosons (pseudoscalar mesons) coming from the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking are collected into an $SU(3)$ matrix U. If the chiral rotation for the light quarks is $g = (g_L, g_R)$, the transformation for the meson field would be $U \to g_L U g_R^\dagger$. It is convenient to introduce $u^2 = U$ to simplify the construction of the chiral Lagrangians. The field u transforms as $u \to g_L u h^\dagger = h u g_R^\dagger$ under the chiral rotation, where h in $SU(3)_V$ from the breaking of $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ is a function of the pion fields. The baryon octet is denoted by another matrix B,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{\Sigma^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\frac{2\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Under the chiral rotation, this matrix transforms into $B' = hBh^\dagger$.

To construct the Lagrangians, one collects the external sources and meson and baryon fields together and define appropriate combinations of them. The fields or combinations transforming like the baryon field are called building blocks. The building blocks we will use are

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &= i\{u^\dagger(\partial^\mu - ir^\mu)u - u(\partial^\mu - il^\mu)u^\dagger\}, \\ \chi_\pm &= u^\dagger \chi u^\dagger \pm u \chi^\dagger u, \\ h^{\mu\nu} &= \nabla^\mu u^\nu + \nabla^\nu u^\mu, \\ f_+^{\mu\nu} &= u F_L^{\mu\nu} u^\dagger + u^\dagger F_R^{\mu\nu} u, \\ f_-^{\mu\nu} &= u F_L^{\mu\nu} u^\dagger - u^\dagger F_R^{\mu\nu} u = -\nabla^\mu u^\nu + \nabla^\nu u^\mu, \\ B, \bar{B}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $r^\mu = v^\mu + a^\mu$, $l^\mu = v^\mu - a^\mu$, $\chi = 2B_0(s + ip)$, $F_R^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu r^\nu - \partial^\nu r^\mu - i[r^\mu, r^\nu]$, $F_L^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu l^\nu - \partial^\nu l^\mu - i[l^\mu, l^\nu]$ and B_0 is a constant related to the quark condensate. In this paper, we consider the case in which both v^μ and a^μ are traceless. The definition of the covariant derivative ∇^μ acting on any building block O is

$$\nabla^\mu O = \partial^\mu O + [\Gamma^\mu, O], \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\{u^\dagger(\partial^\mu - ir^\mu)u + u(\partial^\mu - il^\mu)u^\dagger\}. \tag{5}$$

With this definition, all building blocks O's, including their covariant derivative forms, transform into $O' = hOh^\dagger$ under the chiral rotation. As in Ref. [6], we also define $\chi^\mu_\pm$ to substitute the covariant derivative of $\chi_\pm$,

$$\chi^\mu_\pm = u^\dagger\widetilde{\nabla}^\mu\chi u^\dagger \pm u\widetilde{\nabla}^\mu\chi^\dagger u = \nabla^\mu\chi_\pm - \frac{i}{2}\{\chi_\mp, u^\mu\}, \tag{6}$$

because sometimes it is more convenient. Here, $\widetilde{\nabla}^\mu\chi \equiv \partial^\mu\chi - ir^\mu\chi + i\chi l^\mu$ [1]. In addition, the following two relations of covariant derivatives are useful,

$$[\nabla^\mu, \nabla^\nu]O = [\Gamma^{\mu\nu}, O], \tag{7}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu\Gamma^\nu - \nabla^\nu\Gamma^\mu - [\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu] = \frac{1}{4}[u^\mu, u^\nu] - \frac{i}{2}f_+^{\mu\nu}. \tag{8}$$

III. CONSTRUCTION OF CHIRAL LAGRANGIANS

With the above building blocks, one can construct the SU(3) meson-baryon chiral Lagrangians following the steps given in this section. The final Lagrangian contains minimal independent chirally invariant terms. This method is very similar to the construction of the meson chiral Lagrangians in Ref. [12].

A. Power counting and transformation properties

The construction of chirally invariant monomials needs the power counting of the building blocks. We show their chiral dimensions in the second column of Table I [2–4, 6, 17, 18]. The covariant derivative acting on the meson fields or external sources is counted as $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, but that acting on the baryon fields is counted as $\mathcal{O}(p^0)$. For convenience, we will use D^μ rather than ∇^μ to denote the covariant derivative in the latter case. There is no difference between ∇^μ and D^μ. According to the forms of the bilinear couplings of $\bar{B}$ and B in the low-energy approximation, one assigns the chiral dimensions of the elements of the Clifford algebra basis in the second column of Table II [17, 18, 55].

TABLE I. Chiral dimension (Dim), parity (P), charge conjugation (C) and hermiticity of the building blocks.

	Dim	P	C	h.c.
u^μ	1	$-u_\mu$	$(u^\mu)^T$	u^μ
$h^{\mu\nu}$	2	$-h_{\mu\nu}$	$(h^{\mu\nu})^T$	$h^{\mu\nu}$
$\chi_\pm$	2	$\pm\chi_\pm$	$(\chi_\pm)^T$	$\pm\chi_\pm$
$f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$	2	$\pm f_{\pm\mu\nu}$	$\mp(f_\pm^{\mu\nu})^T$	$f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$
B	0	B	$\bar{B}^T$	$\bar{B}$
$\bar{B}$	0	$\bar{B}$	B^T	B
$D^\mu B$	0	$D_\mu B$	$-(D^\mu B)^T$	$-D^\mu B$

TABLE II. Chiral dimension (Dim), parity (P), charge conjugation (C) and hermiticity of the Clifford algebra elements.

	Dim	P	C	h.c.
1	0	+	+	+
γ_5	1	−	+	−
γ^μ	0	+	−	+
$\gamma_5\gamma^\mu$	0	−	+	+
$\sigma^{\mu\nu}$	0	+	−	+

The chiral Lagrangian is also invariant under the transformation of parity (P), charge conjugation (C) and Hermitian conjugation (h.c.). The transformation properties of the building blocks are simple [6, 17, 18] and we collect them

[1] The details of the covariant derivatives of χ, $\chi^\dagger$ and $F^{\mu\nu}_{L,R}$ can be found in Ref. [5].

in Table I, where the minus sign for $D^\mu B$ is from the moving of the derivative (see Eq. (11)). However, the properties of the Clifford algebra are slightly complicated. We here adopt the method used in Ref. [17] to analyze the transformations. Generally speaking, the invariant monomials have the following forms,

$$\langle \bar{B} A^{\mu\nu\cdots} \Theta_{\mu\nu\ldots} B\rangle + \text{h.c.}, \quad \langle \bar{B} A_1^{\mu\cdots}\rangle \langle A_2^{\nu\cdots} \Theta_{\mu\nu\ldots} B\rangle + \text{h.c.}, \quad \cdots, \tag{9}$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is a trace over $SU(3)$ indices, $A^{\mu\nu\cdots}$, $A_1^{\mu\cdots}$ and $A_2^{\nu\cdots}$ are the products of meson fields and/or external sources, and $\Theta_{\mu\nu\ldots}$ is the product of a Clifford algebra element $\Gamma \in \{1, \gamma_\mu, \gamma_5, \gamma_5\gamma_\mu, \sigma_{\mu\nu}\}$, the Levi-Civita tensor $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$, and several covariant derivatives $D_\lambda D_\eta \cdots$ acting on B. The forms in Eq. (9) can have other deformations, such as increasing traces, a change of the place of the B field, and so on. For the P transformation, the γ matrices are changed by raising or lowering the Lorentz indices. Table II only lists the extra signs. For the C and h.c. transformations, one may change $\langle \bar{B} A^{\mu\nu\cdots} \Gamma_{\mu\ldots} D_{\nu\ldots} B\rangle$ to $\pm\langle D_{\nu\ldots} \bar{B} A'^{\mu\nu\cdots} \Gamma_{\mu\ldots} B\rangle$ with an extra transpose or a whole hermitian conjugation, where $A'^{\mu\nu\cdots}$ comes from $A^{\mu\nu\cdots}$. Table II lists the plus or minus sign. Other forms of monomials can be operated in a similar way. Then, it is easy to move the covariant derivatives acting on $\bar{B}$ to B with the partial integration relation (Eq. (11)). The signs in both Table I and Table II are given with this consideration in mind.

B. Linear relations

In general, the invariant monomials that are combined with the building blocks are not independent. Several linear relations exist that can be used to find the independent monomials. We collect these relations as follows.

(i) Partial integration.

The covariant derivative acting on the whole monomial can be discarded and one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \langle (\nabla^\mu A) B \cdots\rangle \langle C D \cdots\rangle \cdots + \langle A (\nabla^\mu B) \cdots\rangle \langle C D \cdots\rangle \cdots \\ &+ \langle A B \cdots\rangle \langle (\nabla^\mu C) D \cdots\rangle \cdots + \langle A B \cdots\rangle \langle C (\nabla^\mu D) \cdots\rangle \cdots + \text{other terms}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where "$\cdots$" represents one or more building blocks. Because the covariant derivative acting on baryon fields is counted as $\mathcal{O}(p^0)$ and that on other building blocks is $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, we can simply employ the relation [17, 18]

$$\langle D_\nu \bar{B} A^{\mu\nu\cdots} \Gamma_{\mu\ldots} B\rangle \doteq -\langle \bar{B} A^{\mu\nu\cdots} \Gamma_{\mu\ldots} D_\nu B\rangle \tag{11}$$

in reducing the number of monomials. The symbol "$\doteq$" means that both sides are equal if high order terms are ignored. This is also the origin of the extra minus signs in Table I and Table II discussed above.

(ii) Equations of motion (EOM).

The lowest order EOM from the pseudoscalar CL is

$$\nabla_\mu u^\mu = \frac{i}{2}\left(\chi_- - \frac{1}{N_f}\langle\chi_-\rangle\right), \tag{12}$$

where N_f is the number of quark flavors and we take $N_f = 3$ here. This equation indicates that the monomials including $\nabla_\mu u^\mu$ can be removed. Obviously, the higher order EOM only adds terms on the right hand side and it has no impact on the construction of CL. The EOM from the meson-baryon CL is a little complicated, which limits the forms of $\Theta_{\mu\nu\ldots}$ to a small set. We leave the discussions of this for Appendix A.

(iii) Bianchi identity.

From Eqs. (7) and (8), one gets

$$\nabla^\mu \Gamma^{\nu\lambda} + \nabla^\nu \Gamma^{\lambda\mu} + \nabla^\lambda \Gamma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{13}$$

which gives a relation between the covariant derivatives of $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$ (or $f_+^{\mu\nu}$).

(iv) Schouten identity.

When $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ exists in a monomial, the Schouten identity indicates that

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} A^\sigma - \epsilon^{\sigma\nu\lambda\rho} A^\mu - \epsilon^{\mu\sigma\lambda\rho} A^\nu - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} A^\lambda - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} A^\rho = 0. \tag{14}$$

(v) Cayley-Hamilton relation.

All the building blocks are 3×3 matrices in flavor space. For any 3×3 matrices A, B and C, one has

$$\begin{aligned}0 =& ABC+ACB+BAC+BCA+CAB+CBA-AB\langle C\rangle-AC\langle B\rangle-BA\langle C\rangle-BC\langle A\rangle-CA\langle B\rangle\\&-CB\langle A\rangle-A\langle BC\rangle-B\langle AC\rangle-C\langle AB\rangle-\langle ABC\rangle-\langle ACB\rangle+A\langle B\rangle\langle C\rangle+B\langle A\rangle\langle C\rangle+C\langle A\rangle\langle B\rangle\\&+\langle A\rangle\langle BC\rangle+\langle B\rangle\langle AC\rangle+\langle C\rangle\langle AB\rangle-\langle A\rangle\langle B\rangle\langle C\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

which is the Cayley-Hamilton relation [6, 12].

(vi) Contact terms.

In constructing the CL, we need to consider separately the contact terms where only baryon fields and pure external sources ($F_R^{\mu\nu}$, $F_L^{\mu\nu}$, and χ) are involved. To adopt the constraint relations, we also use the following formulas by revealing explicitly the sources in Eq. (3),

$$\begin{aligned}F_L^{\mu\nu}&=\frac{1}{2}u^\dagger(f_+^{\mu\nu}+f_-^{\mu\nu})u,\\F_R^{\mu\nu}&=\frac{1}{2}u(f_+^{\mu\nu}-f_-^{\mu\nu})u^\dagger,\\\chi&=\frac{1}{2}u(\chi_++\chi_-)u,\\\chi^\dagger&=\frac{1}{2}u^\dagger(\chi_+-\chi_-)u^\dagger.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

The number of such terms is small and it is not difficult to construct them directly. The $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ meson-baryon contact terms are the last three monomials in Table V.

C. Reduction of the monomials

Because the number of D^μ acting on B is arbitrary, it seems that there are infinite possibilities of monomials at a given order. However, from Eq. (7) and the item (i) in Appendix A, one finds that the covariant derivatives $D_\nu D_\lambda\cdots$ acting on B have totally symmetric Lorentz indices and any two Lorentz indices are completely different. To reflect the symmetric nature, one may use the short notation $D_{\nu\lambda\rho\cdots}$ to denote multiple derivatives where

$$D_{\nu\lambda\rho\cdots}=D_\nu D_\lambda D_\rho\cdots+\text{full permutation of }D\text{'s}.\tag{17}$$

This symmetric property limits the possibilities of the monomials.

On the other hand, some monomials with a different order of building blocks and different indices may be equal. The construction of independent monomials will be easier if we change all the monomials to a unified form. The following rules are helpful.

(i) Unlike the two-flavor πN CL in Ref. [17], where the nucleon field $\bar\Psi$ is fixed on the far left and the Ψ is fixed on the far right, now the positions of the baryon fields $\bar B$ and B are not fixed except that B is always on the right hand of $\bar B$. To fix the positions of $\bar B$ and B, we first move the trace containing $\bar B$ to the left, and then move the field $\bar B$ to the far left. If B is in another trace, we move the trace to the right hand side of the trace containing $\bar B$, and then move B to the far right inside the trace. With this rule, the positions of $\bar B$ and B are fixed. There may also exist traces containing neither $\bar B$ nor B. We move them to the right hand side of the trace containing B. The relative positions of these traces are also not fixed. We treat them in the next item. The fixed form of the monomials is like that in Eq. (9) where the factor $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots}$ is moved to the left side of B.

(ii) For a trace without $\bar B$ and B, another rule is introduced. All building blocks are numbered, including the covariant derivative ∇. Table III shows an example. The meaning of the number for each building block is not significant. What we care about is the relative size. Each cyclic permutation maps to a vector, such as $\langle uh\rangle\to(1161,1181)$, $\langle hu\rangle\to(1181,1161)$, $\langle\chi_+\rangle\to(1201)$ and $\langle\chi_-\rangle\to(1221)$. We choose the smallest permutation so that the smaller number is placed as far left as possible. For example, $(1161,1181)$ is smaller than $(1181,1161)$ and thus we choose the combination $\langle uh\rangle$ but not $\langle hu\rangle$. If there is more than one trace without $\bar B$ and B, we place the smaller one on the left. For example, (1201) is smaller than (1221), so we choose $\langle\chi_+\rangle\langle\chi_-\rangle$ but not $\langle\chi_-\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$.

TABLE III. Examples of numbering for the building blocks (or operator) and the Lorentz indices. No significant meaning is given to the numbers but the relative size of the numbers is meaningful.

Operator	∇	u	f_-	h	f_+	χ_+	χ_-
Number	1101	1161	1171	1181	1121	1201	1221
Index	μ	ν	λ	ρ	σ		
Number	1	2	3	4	5		

(iii) For the Lorentz indices, the rule is the same as the building blocks. All indices are numbered, too. We also give an example in Table III. When the places of all the building blocks are fixed, their indices are mapped to vectors, such as $\langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\mu\rangle \to (1,2,1)$, $\langle u^\mu u^\mu u^\nu\rangle \to (1,1,2)$ and $\langle u^\nu u^\lambda u^\lambda\rangle \to (2,3,3)$, and so on. Although the results are probably equal (as shown here), we only choose the smallest permutation, $\langle u^\mu u^\mu u^\nu\rangle \to (1,1,2)$. In this step, the Einstein summation convention and the symmetric and antisymmetric relations for $f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$, $h^{\mu\nu}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ are used.

We say that a monomial obeying the above rules has a *standard form*. With these rules, two monomials having the same standard form are equal. The final results are all in this form. Besides the purpose of distinguishing monomials, the standard form is also conveniently used for programming.

D. Classifications and Substitutions

It is not complicated to obtain all possible invariant monomials at a given order with the building blocks u^μ, $h^{\mu\nu}$, $\chi_\pm$, $f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$, and their derivative forms and the constrained $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots}$ in Appendix A. However, the number of the resulting monomials is too large and it makes further manipulation difficult. A simpler way is to classify all the monomials according to the external sources. It means that we can treat first the category with four pseudoscalar sources, then the category with three pseudoscalar sources plus one vector current (or one covariant derivative, see Eq. (4)), and so on. One may adopt such a classification because almost all the linear relations in the subsection III B connect monomials with the same type of external sources and one applies those relations to monomials category by category. The exceptional case is for the contact terms where different types of external sources may be connected with the relations in the subsection III B. We will deal with this case separately.

To simplify the calculation, we usually make the following replacements,

$$f_+^{\mu\nu} \longleftrightarrow i\Gamma^{\mu\nu}, \quad \chi_\pm^\mu \longleftrightarrow \nabla^\mu\chi_\pm. \tag{18}$$

Since our purpose is to construct all the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ CL, the differences induced by these replacements can be compensated by other terms at the same chiral order. That is, in constructing the CL, we use actually the definitions $\chi_\pm^\mu = \nabla^\mu\chi_\pm$ and $\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = -if_+^{\mu\nu}$ rather than the strict ones in Eqs. (6) and (8).

E. Independent linear relations and chiral Lagrangians

With the above preparations, now we can move on to find out independent chiral-invariant terms with a systematic approach easy to program. This approach has been used to construct meson chiral Lagrangians in Ref. [12].

First, one sets up basic equations. So we may adopt the linear relations in the subsection III B directly, it is convenient for us to reveal the covariant derivatives in the constructed monomials by using Eqs. (3) and (8). Here, we use $D_{i,j}$ to store all possible invariant monomials constructed with $\bar{B}$, B, u^μ, $\chi_\pm, h^{\mu\nu}$, $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$, $f_-^{\mu\nu}$, and their derivative forms and $E_{i,j}$ to store all possible monomials revealing the covariant derivatives (constructed with $\bar{B}$, B, u^μ, $\chi_\pm$, Γ^μ, and their derivative forms). The index i labels the categories and the index j labels the monomials inside the category i. The linear relations between $D_{i,j}$ and $E_{i,j}$ are

$$D_{i,j} = \sum_k A_{i,jk} E_{i,k}, \tag{19}$$

where the coefficient matrix A_i for the category i is easy to obtain with Eqs. (3) and (8).

Second, one finds out independent constraint relations. By applying the linear relations in the subsection III B to $E_{i,k}$, we obtain the constraint equations

$$\sum_k R_{i,jk} E_{i,k} = 0, \tag{20}$$

where the coefficient matrix R_i for the category i is easy to get. Usually, the relations are not independent. To extract the independent ones, we transform the matrix R_i to the reduced row echelon form (row canonical form) S_i. The rank of R_i or S_i is equal to the number of independent linear relations and each nonzero row-vector of S_i gives a linear relation. That is, the independent constraint equations read

$$\sum_k S_{i,jk} E_{i,k} = 0. \tag{21}$$

With these constrains, Eq. (19) can be revised to the form

$$D_{i,j} = \sum_k A'_{i,jk} E_{i,k}, \tag{22}$$

where the matrix A'_i is from the matrices A_i and S_i after all linear dependent constraints are removed.

Third, one extracts the independent terms. Now, the independent terms in D_i can be obtained with the help of $A'_{i,jk}$. They correspond to the elements derived with the independent rows of A'_i or the independent columns of A'^T_i. Similar to the processing of Eq. (20), one transforms the matrix A'^T_i to the reduced row echelon form of A'^T_i. Then the labels of the independent terms in D_i and thus the final results can be extracted. The standard form defined in the subsection III C ensures that all the linear relations have been used and all the independent monomials of $E_{i,k}$ are really independent.

Fourth, one constructs the contact terms. Because the constraint relations may connect monomials in different categories in this case, we collect all the $D_{i,j}$ and $E_{i,k}$ in two big column vectors D'_j and E'_k, respectively. By repeating the same steps from Eq. (19) to Eq. (22), one gets the independent terms containing contact terms.

Finally, according to the hermiticity, one has to add an extra i to some terms to ensure that the LECs are real. The Lagrangian with the original building blocks is also recovered with Eq. (18).

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

With the steps given above, we obtain the minimal three-flavor meson-baryon CL to the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$. As a cross check, we have confirmed the meson-baryon CLs obtained in Refs. [17–19].

The $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ meson-baryon CL has the form

$$\mathscr{L}^{(4)}_{\rm MB} = \sum_{n=1}^{540} c_n O_n, \tag{23}$$

where c_n's are the LECs, and O_n's are the independent chirally invariant terms listed in Table V. The last three terms are contact terms. In Table IV, we show processes with the minimal number of mesons and photons to which the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ Lagrangian may contribute. The labels of related O_n terms are also given.

TABLE IV. The processes with the minimal number of mesons and photons to which the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ monomials may contribute. The numbers in the second column denote the labels of the monomials in Table V.

Process	n
$B\to B$	$471\sim473,\ 481\sim487,\ 538$
$B+\gamma\to B$	$406\sim407,\ 474\sim480$
$B+\gamma\to B+\gamma$	$408\sim419$
$B+\gamma\to B+3\gamma$	$539\sim540$
$B+M\to B$	$464\sim467,\ 469\sim470$
$B+M\to B+\gamma$	$364\sim371,\ 376\sim393,\ 397\sim398,\ 401\sim405,\ 462\sim463,\ 468,\ 525\sim531$
$B+M\to B+2\gamma$	$354\sim363,\ 372\sim375,\ 394\sim396,\ 399\sim400$
$B+M\to B+M$	$218\sim222,\ 236\sim243,\ 259\sim260,\ 266\sim268,\ 420\sim461,\ 507\sim516,\ 518\sim524,\ 532\sim537$
$B+M\to B+M+\gamma$	$216\sim217,\ 228\sim235,\ 244\sim255,\ 258,\ 263\sim265,\ 269\sim353,\ 505\sim506,\ 517$
$B+M\to B+M+2\gamma$	$211\sim215,\ 223\sim227,\ 256\sim257,\ 261\sim262$
$B+M\to B+2M$	$125\sim148,\ 165\sim168,\ 173\sim184,\ 193\sim196,\ 206\sim207,\ 209\sim210,\ 488\sim504$
$B+M\to B+2M+\gamma$	$93\sim124,\ 149\sim164,\ 169\sim172,\ 185\sim192,\ 197\sim205,\ 208$
$B+M\to B+3M$	$1\sim92$

Besides the results in Refs. [17–19], we also check our calculation through other approaches. The independent terms in D_i are C and h. c. invariant. Some of them contain two parts as shown in Table V, e.g. O_4. The relative phase

between them is only $+1$ or -1. However, the monomials in E_i need not be C or h. c. invariant in the calculation. This property is used to check the correctness of the matrices A_i which must be suitable to keep the C and h. c. invariance on the right hand side of Eq. (19). It requires that the coefficients of some pairs in E_i are equal or only a minus sign difference. In addition, a small mistake in the matrices R_i would also break the C and h. c. invariance of $D_{i,j}$ in Eq. (22). It will generate confusing results, e,g. giving a very large or very small number of independent terms compared to the lower order Lagrangians or two-flavor π-nucleon CL of Refs. [17–19].

It seems that 540 is a too large number for independent terms at the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$. However, one could not find more relations to reduce this number. Recall that the number of independent normal terms for the $SU(2)$ ($SU(3)$) meson CL at the orders $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, and $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ are 2, 10, and 56 (2, 12, and 94), respectively [2, 3, 6, 7]. The increasing number to high orders in the three-flavor case is larger than that in the two-flavor case. In the baryon sector, the numbers of independent terms (the term $(i\not{D}-m)$ not counted) at the orders $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$, $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$, and $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ are 1, 7, 23, and 118, respectively, in the $SU(2)$ case. Those in the $SU(3)$ case are 2, 16, 78, and 540, respectively. (Note that the traceless vector and axial vector external sources are adopted in the latter case.) The increasing number in the $SU(3)$ case is much larger. Thus, the number 540 at the fourth chiral order is not so surprising. For a special process, from Table IV, only parts of terms and the determination of their coefficients are needed. In reality, the number of independent parameters should be much less than the number of terms shown here. On the other side, the constraint of LECs at this order is possible with further studies or the development of non-perturbative methods, e.g. lattice QCD.

In studying low-energy meson-baryon interactions with chiral perturbation theory, one usually needs to explore the convergence of the chiral expansion. However, the high order correction is not the unique source to improve the expansion. It has been shown that the inclusion of decuplet baryons is also important (see e.g. Ref. [56]). The obtained Lagrangian may be used to answer which effect is more important, high order corrections or excited baryon contributions, in specific processes in future investigations.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we present a systematic and mechanized method for the construction of baryon chiral Lagrangians, which is suitable for computer realization. In the construction, only the independent constraint relations for the chirally-invariant monomials are considered, which remarkably reduces the computational complexity. We have gotten the $SU(3)$ meson-baryon chiral Lagrangian at the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$. Now, all the Lorentz-invariant meson-baryon chiral Lagrangians for the one-loop calculation are obtained. Although the number of independent terms is large, only parts of these terms are needed for a special process in which one is interested. We hope that the present work is helpful for further studies on the convergence of the chiral expansion, LEC determinations, model constructions, and so on.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Qing Wang for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 11565004, No. 11275115 and the Foundation of Guangxi Key Laboratory for Relativistic Astrophysics.

Appendix A: γ matrix and EOM

This appendix gives a brief introduction to the EOM constraints on the meson-baryon CL. The types of γ matrices appearing in the Lagrangian are constrained. One may find detailed descriptions in Refs. [17, 22]. Although the discussions are for the two-flavor case there, the results are the same as the present case. In this appendix, we also introduce several new relations.

The lowest order EOM from the $SU(3)$ meson-baryon CL is [18]

$$i\not{D}B - M_0 B + \frac{F}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5[u_\mu, B] + \frac{D}{2}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\left(\{u_\mu, B\} - \frac{1}{3}\langle\{u_\mu, B\}\rangle\right) = 0\ , \tag{A1}$$

where M_0 is the octet baryon mass in the chiral limit, F and D are the axial-vector coupling constants in the $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$ order CL. The equation means that

$$(i\not{D} - M_0)B = \mathcal{O}(p^1), \tag{A2}$$

which is similar to the lowest order EOM from the pion-nucleon CL in Ref. [17],

$$(i\not{D} - m)\Psi = \mathcal{O}(p^1). \tag{A3}$$

In other words, we can borrow the relations in the Appendix A of Ref. [17] directly, with the replacements $\Psi \to B$ and $m \to M_0$. For convenience, we collect the constraints on the structure of $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots} = \Gamma\times(1 \text{ or } \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\tau})\times(1 \text{ or derivatives } D)$ in Eq. (9) from the baryon EOM as follows:

(i) The case $\Gamma = 1$ can give a relation $D^2B = -m^2B + \mathcal{O}(p^1)$, which is similar to the Klein-Gordon equation. From this, one understands that the Lorentz indices of the covariant derivations acting on the baryon field B should be completely different. The existence of the Levi-Civita tensor $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$ is allowed.

(ii) The case $\Gamma = \gamma_5$ gives high order terms and it should not exist solely in the Lagrangian.

(iii) In the case $\Gamma = \gamma^\mu$, one can change it to iD^μ up to high order terms and it should not appear solely in the Lagrangian, either. The Levi-Civita tensor is allowed, but the structure has been implied in the case $\Gamma = 1$.

(iv) The case $\Gamma = \sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is a little complicated. The contraction of one or two indices of $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ with those of the covariant derivations acting on the baryon field B gives high order terms or zero. Therefore, $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and the derivatives should have completely different Lorentz indices in the allowed monomials. When the Levi-Civita tensor exists, the structure $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = 2i\gamma_5\sigma^{\lambda\rho}$ can be converted to the form of $(\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D^\rho - \gamma_5\gamma^\rho D^\lambda)$ up to high order terms, which is the following case (v). Because $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\nu\lambda\rho\tau} = (ig^\lambda_\mu\gamma_5\sigma^{\rho\tau} - ig^\rho_\mu\gamma_5\sigma^{\lambda\tau} + ig^\tau_\mu\gamma_5\sigma^{\lambda\rho})$ and the structure $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$ can also be converted to the form $(g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu\gamma_5\gamma^\tau D^\rho + \cdots)$ up to high order terms, the independent monomials should not contain any combinations of $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$.

(v) In the case $\Gamma = \gamma_5\gamma^\mu$, the Lorentz index should be different from that of any covariant derivative acting on the baryon field B. In addition, up to high order terms, the structure $\gamma_5\gamma_\mu\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ can be converted to the form of $(\sigma^{\nu\lambda}D^\rho + \sigma^{\nu\rho}D^\lambda + \sigma^{\lambda\rho}D^\nu)$ and $\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$ to $(g^\mu_\alpha\sigma_{\beta\rho}D_\tau + \cdots)$, which has been incorporated in the case $\Gamma = \sigma^{\mu\nu}$. Therefore, any combinations of $\gamma_5\gamma_\mu$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\tau}$ should not exist in the minimal Lagrangian, either.

To summarize, Γ can be only 1, $\gamma_5\gamma^\mu$ or $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, and their indices should be different from those of covariant derivatives acting on the baryon field B. The Levi-Civita tensor $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ exists only when $\Gamma = 1$. To the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ order, $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots}$ has the forms in Eq. (A.21) of Ref. [17] because the number of independent Lorentz indices of $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots}$ should be no more than four. Explicitly, they are

$$\begin{gathered}
1, \quad D_\mu, \quad D_{\mu\nu}, \quad D_{\mu\nu\alpha}, \quad D_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \\
\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\tau}D^\tau, \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\tau}D^\tau{}_\beta, \\
\sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu}D_\alpha, \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu}D_{\alpha\beta}, \\
\gamma_5\gamma_\mu, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_{\nu\alpha}, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_{\nu\alpha\beta}.
\end{gathered} \tag{A4}$$

Other types of structures can be reduced to these forms. A simple method for the reduction is in the heavy baryon formalism. For example, from $\frac{1+\not{v}}{2}\gamma^\alpha\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{1+\not{v}}{2} = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}(v^\alpha\sigma^{\mu\nu} - v^\mu\sigma^{\alpha\nu} + v^\nu\sigma^{\alpha\mu} - iv^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} + iv^\nu g^{\alpha\mu})$, one understands that the structure with $\Theta = \gamma^\alpha\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ may be reduced to the forms like $\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^\alpha$ and D^μ.

The story is not over yet. When constraining the allowed structures of $\Theta_{\mu\nu\cdots}$, we used only the baryon EOM. One may also combine the EOM with the other relations in Subsection III B to get new constraints. From the above items (iv) and (v), we have

$$\varepsilon^{\cdots}\bar{B}A^{\cdots}\gamma_5\gamma^{\cdots}D_{\ldots}B \doteq (\bar{B}A^{\cdots}\sigma^{\cdots}D_{\ldots}B + \cdots)\ , \tag{A5}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\cdots}\bar{B}A^{\cdots}\sigma^{\cdots}D_{\ldots}B \doteq (\bar{B}A^{\cdots}\gamma_5\gamma^{\cdots}D_{\ldots}B + \cdots)\ , \tag{A6}$$

where the Lorentz indices, some constants, and the right-hand-side terms having similar structure are ignored. By applying Schouten's identity to the left-hand-side terms, we obtain relations for the terms of the $\gamma_5\gamma$ type and the σ type. The independent ones are

$$0 \doteq \bar{B}A^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\lambda\rho}D_\delta{}^\delta B + \mathrm{P}(\mu,\nu,\lambda,\rho,\delta), \tag{A7}$$

$$0 \doteq \bar{B}A^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}{}^\delta\sigma_{\lambda\rho}D_\delta B + \mathrm{P}(\mu,\nu,\lambda,\rho,\delta), \tag{A8}$$

$$0 \doteq \bar{B}A^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{}_{\mu\nu\lambda}\gamma_5\gamma_\rho D_\delta{}^\delta B + \mathrm{P}(\mu,\nu,\lambda,\rho,\delta), \tag{A9}$$

$$0 \doteq \bar{B}A^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}{}_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\delta\gamma_5\gamma_\rho D_\delta B + \mathrm{P}(\mu,\nu,\lambda,\rho,\delta). \tag{A10}$$

Here, P means all permutations of the subscripts behind it. Note that an odd permutation gives a minus sign. These relations were not given in Ref. [17]. Fortunately, they only have effects on terms not lower than $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$. By combining the baryon EOM with the partial integration, one obtains three relations similar to Eqs. (A.18)-(A.20) of Ref. [17]. In short, we do not obtain any new relations except for Eqs. (A7)-(A10).

Appendix B: Meson-baryon chiral Lagrangian at order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$

TABLE V: Terms in the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ meson-baryon chiral Lagrangian.

n	O_n	n	O_n	n	O_n
1	$\langle \bar{B}Bu^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	181	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda}\gamma_5\gamma^\rho D_{\mu\nu\lambda}Bu_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	361	$i\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\mu Bf_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
2	$\langle \bar{B}Bu^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u_\nu\rangle$	182	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu h^{\lambda\rho}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_{\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	362	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.
3	$\langle \bar{B}Bu^\mu u^\nu u_\nu u_\mu\rangle$	183	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu h^{\lambda\rho}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_{\mu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	363	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
4	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu Bu_\mu u^\nu u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	184	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu h^{\lambda\rho}\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_{\mu\nu\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	364	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bf_{+\mu}{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.
5	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu Bu^\nu u_\mu u_\nu\rangle$	185	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}Bu_\mu\rangle\langle u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$	365	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bf_{+\nu}{}^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.
6	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu Bu^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	186	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u_\rho B\rangle$ + h.c.	366	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\lambda Bh_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$
7	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle$	187	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu\nu}u_\lambda\rangle\langle u_\rho B\rangle$ + h.c.	367	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\mu Bh_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$
8	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu Bu_\nu u_\mu\rangle$	188	$\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle u_\mu u^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	368	$i\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\lambda Bf_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
9	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu Bu_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	189	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.	369	$i\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\mu Bf_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
10	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu Bu_\nu\rangle$	190	$\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle u_\nu u^\lambda f_{-\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	370	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.
11	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu B\rangle$	191	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.	371	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
12	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u_\nu B\rangle$	192	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.	372	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bf_{+\nu\lambda}f_{-\rho\sigma}\rangle$ + h.c.
13	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\nu u_\mu B\rangle$	193	$\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle u_\mu u^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	373	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bf_{+\nu\sigma}f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.
14	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	194	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu h_\mu{}^\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.	374	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{+\mu\nu}f_{-}{}^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
15	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	195	$\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle u_\nu u^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	375	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{+\mu}{}^\sigma f_{-\nu\lambda}D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
16	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u^\lambda u_\lambda u_\nu\rangle$	196	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu h_\mu{}^\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.	376	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bf_{+\nu\lambda}h_{\rho\sigma}\rangle$ + h.c.
17	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	197	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bu_\nu\rangle\langle u_\lambda f_{-\rho\sigma}\rangle$	377	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{+\mu\nu}h_\lambda{}^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
18	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\sigma_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	198	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}u_\mu f_{-\nu}{}^\sigma\rangle\langle u_\lambda D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	378	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho}Bf_{+\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.
19	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	199	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma B\rangle\langle u_\nu u_\sigma f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.	379	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu{}^{\lambda\rho}Bh_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$
20	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\nu u_\mu u_\lambda\rangle$	200	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bu_\nu\rangle\langle u_\sigma f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$	380	$i\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho Bf_{+\lambda\rho}\rangle$
21	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	201	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}u^\sigma f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle\langle u_\lambda D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	381	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}h^{\lambda\rho}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_{\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.
22	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	202	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle\langle u_\lambda u^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	382	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\nabla_\mu f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.
23	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_\mu{}^\lambda Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	203	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	383	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\nabla^\lambda f_{+\mu\lambda}u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.
24	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_\mu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	204	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu\nu}u^\sigma\rangle\langle u_\lambda D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	384	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\nabla^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}u_\mu\rangle$ + h.c.
25	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu\sigma_\nu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	205	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu}{}^\sigma u_\nu\rangle\langle u_\lambda D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	385	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$
26	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\nu}Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	206	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}D_\mu{}^\sigma Bu_\nu\rangle\langle u_\lambda h_{\rho\sigma}\rangle$	386	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\nu Bu_\lambda\rangle$
27	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\lambda}Bu_\nu\rangle$	207	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}u_\mu h_\nu{}^\sigma\rangle\langle u_\lambda D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.	387	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu Bu_\lambda\rangle$
28	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	208	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u^\rho\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_{\mu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	388	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\nu B\nabla^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
29	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	209	$\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu h_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	389	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D_\mu B\nabla^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
30	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$	210	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u^\rho\gamma_5\gamma_\rho D_{\mu\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	390	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D^\lambda B\nabla_\mu f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
31	$i\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\mu\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	211	$\langle \bar{B}Bf_-^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$	391	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.
32	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	212	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}Bf_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$	392	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda\gamma_5\gamma_\lambda D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
33	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	213	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu\nu}B\rangle$	393	$i\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda}u_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\lambda B\rangle$ + h.c.
34	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u^\lambda u_\lambda u_\nu\rangle$	214	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{-\mu}{}^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	394	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_{+\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.
35	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	215	$i\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	395	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$
36	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	216	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{-\mu}{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	396	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda f_{-\mu\lambda}\rangle$
37	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu Bu^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	217	$i\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	397	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$
38	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu D^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	218	$\langle \bar{B}Bh^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}\rangle$	398	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda}\rangle$
39	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu D^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\nu u_\mu u_\lambda\rangle$	219	$\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}Bh_{\mu\nu}\rangle$	399	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu}{}^\sigma\rangle\langle f_{+\nu\lambda}D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
40	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu D^{\nu\lambda}Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	220	$\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$	400	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_{+\lambda}{}^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
41	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	221	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bh_\mu{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	401	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle \bar{B}h_\mu{}^\sigma\rangle\langle f_{+\nu\lambda}D_{\rho\sigma}B\rangle$ + h.c.
42	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu D_\mu{}^\lambda Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	222	$i\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	402	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle\langle f_{+\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$
43	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu D_\mu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	223	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bf_{-\mu}{}^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	403	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle \nabla_\mu f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$
44	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu D_\nu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	224	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}D_\mu{}^\lambda Bf_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	404	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle \nabla^\lambda f_{+\mu\lambda}u_\nu\rangle$
45	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu D_\nu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$	225	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	405	$i\langle \bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu B\rangle\langle \nabla^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}u_\mu\rangle$
46	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda D_{\mu\nu}Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	226	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bf_{-\mu\lambda}f_{-\nu\rho}\rangle$	406	$\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}B\nabla_\mu\nabla^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
47	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda D_{\mu\lambda}Bu_\nu\rangle$	227	$i\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}f_-^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$	407	$\langle \bar{B}\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda}\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$
48	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	228	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bf_{-\mu}{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	408	$\langle \bar{B}Bf_+^{\mu\nu}f_{+\mu\nu}\rangle$
49	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	229	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}D_\mu{}^\lambda Bh_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	409	$\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu\nu}\rangle$
50	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\nu u^\lambda D_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$	230	$\langle \bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}D_\mu{}^\lambda Bf_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	410	$\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_{+\mu\nu}B\rangle$
51	$\langle \bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\mu D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	231	$\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	411	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu}{}^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
52	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	232	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bf_{-\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.	412	$i\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$
53	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu u_\lambda u_\nu u_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	233	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bf_{-\mu\lambda}h_{\nu\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.	413	$\langle \bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu}{}^\lambda f_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$
54	$i\langle \bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu u_\lambda u_\rho u_\nu\rangle$	234	$i\langle \bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}h^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\nu}D_{\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	414	$\langle \bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}D_\mu{}^\lambda Bf_{+\nu\lambda}\rangle$

n	O_n	n	O_n	n	O_n
55	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\lambda u_\mu u_\nu u_\rho\rangle$	235	$i\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}h^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	415	$\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$
56	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma_\mu{}^\nu D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	236	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bh_\mu{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	416	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bf_{+\mu\lambda}f_{+\nu\rho}\rangle$
57	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}D_\mu{}^\rho Bu_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	237	$\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}D_\mu{}^\lambda Bh_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	417	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}f_+^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$
58	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}D_\mu{}^\rho Bu_\nu u_\rho u_\lambda\rangle$	238	$\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}h_\mu{}^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	418	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$
59	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	239	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bh_{\mu\lambda}h_{\nu\rho}\rangle$	419	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_+^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$
60	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_\mu{}^\lambda D_\nu{}^\rho Bu_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	240	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}h^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$	420	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu u_\mu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
61	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_\mu{}^\lambda D_\nu{}^\rho Bu_\rho u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	241	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}Bh_{\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$	421	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu\chi_+u_\mu\rangle$
62	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma^{\lambda\rho}D_{\mu\nu}Bu_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	242	$\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho}Bh_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$	422	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu Bu_\mu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
63	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\nu}D_\lambda{}^\rho Bu_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	243	$\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}h^{\lambda\rho}D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$	423	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+Bu^\mu u_\mu\rangle$
64	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_\nu{}^\rho Bu_\rho\rangle$	244	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu\nabla^\nu f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$ + h.c.	424	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu B\chi_+\rangle$
65	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\sigma_\mu{}^\rho D_{\nu\lambda}Bu_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	245	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu B\nabla^\nu f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$	425	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+Bu_\mu\rangle$ + h.c.
66	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho\sigma_{\mu\nu}D_{\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	246	$\langle\bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu Bu_\nu\rangle$	426	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu\chi_+B\rangle$ + h.c.
67	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	247	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\nabla^\nu f_{-\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.	427	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+u_\mu B\rangle$
68	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho\sigma_{\mu\rho}D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	248	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\nabla^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	428	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
69	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho\sigma_{\nu\lambda}D_{\mu\rho}B\rangle$	249	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda\nabla_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	429	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\chi_+u_\nu\rangle$
70	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	250	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\nabla_\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda}\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	430	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
71	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^{\nu\lambda\rho}Bu_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	251	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\nabla^\nu f_{-\nu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	431	$i\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle$
72	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}{}^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	252	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\nabla^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	432	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}B\chi_+\rangle$
73	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda D_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\rho Bu_\rho\rangle$	253	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu B\nabla^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	433	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+\sigma_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.
74	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u^\rho D_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle$	254	$\langle\bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu D_\nu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$	434	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\chi_+\sigma_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
75	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	255	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\nabla^\nu f_{-\nu}{}^\lambda D_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	435	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$
76	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu\rangle\langle u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	256	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle f_-^{\mu\nu}f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$	436	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
77	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu u_\mu\rangle\langle u^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	257	$i\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	437	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\chi_+u_\nu\rangle$
78	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u_\nu\rangle$	258	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	438	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
79	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	259	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}\rangle$	439	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle$
80	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	260	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle h_\mu{}^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$	440	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}B\chi_+\rangle$
81	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	261	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	441	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+D_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.
82	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	262	$i\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_-^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$	442	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\chi_+D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
83	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\rangle\langle u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	263	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	443	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$
84	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	264	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_-^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\lambda\rho}D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.	444	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle u^\mu u_\mu\chi_+\rangle$
85	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u_\mu\rangle\langle u^\nu u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	265	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle f_-^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	445	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu\rangle\langle u_\mu\chi_+\rangle$
86	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$	266	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle h_\mu{}^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda}\rangle$	446	$\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu u_\mu\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$
87	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu\rangle\langle u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	267	$i\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\rangle\langle h^{\lambda\rho}\sigma_{\mu\lambda}D_{\nu\rho}B\rangle$	447	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+\rangle\langle u_\mu B\rangle$ + h.c.
88	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	268	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle\langle h_{\mu\nu}h_{\lambda\rho}\rangle$	448	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\rangle\langle u^\mu u_\mu B\rangle$ + h.c.
89	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}D^{\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle\langle u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	269	$\langle\bar{B}B\rangle\langle u^\mu\nabla^\nu f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$	449	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+u^\mu\rangle\langle u_\mu B\rangle$ + h.c.
90	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda\rangle\langle u^\rho\sigma_{\mu\rho}D_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	270	$i\langle\bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu\rangle\langle u^\lambda\sigma_{\nu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	450	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu Bu_\mu\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$
91	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	271	$i\langle\bar{B}\nabla^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda}\rangle\langle u_\nu\sigma_{\mu\lambda}B\rangle$ + h.c.	451	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu\chi_+\rangle$
92	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}Bu_\mu\rangle\langle u_\nu u_\lambda u_\rho\rangle$	272	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu\nabla^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$	452	$i\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$
93	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle\bar{B}Bu_\mu u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.	273	$i\langle\bar{B}Bf_+^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	453	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+\rangle\langle u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
94	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle\bar{B}u_\mu Bu_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho}\rangle$ + h.c.	274	$i\langle\bar{B}Bu^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u_\nu\rangle$	454	$i\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\rangle\langle u^\mu u^\nu\sigma_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
95	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle\bar{B}u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}Bu_\rho\rangle$ + h.c.	275	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle$	455	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}B\rangle\langle u_\mu u_\nu\chi_+\rangle$
96	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\langle\bar{B}u_\mu u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho}B\rangle$ + h.c.	276	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu Bf_{+\mu}{}^\nu u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	456	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu\rangle\langle u_\nu\chi_+\rangle$
97	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu_\mu u^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	277	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}u_\mu Bu_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	457	$\langle\bar{B}D^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu u_\nu\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$
98	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu_\nu u^\lambda f_{-\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	278	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu Bf_{+\mu\nu}\rangle$	458	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+\rangle\langle u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
99	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu^\lambda u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	279	$i\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.	459	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\rangle\langle u^\mu u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
100	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu^\lambda u_\nu f_{-\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	280	$i\langle\bar{B}u^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u_\nu B\rangle$	460	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+u^\mu\rangle\langle u^\nu D_{\mu\nu}B\rangle$ + h.c.
101	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu^\lambda u_\lambda f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$ + h.c.	281	$\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu\nu}u^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	461	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu Bu_\nu\rangle\langle\chi_+\rangle$
102	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu_\mu f_{-\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	282	$\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	462	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bf_{-\mu\nu}\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
103	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu_\nu f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	283	$\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bf_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda u_\nu\rangle$ + h.c.	463	$\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\chi_+\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
104	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\nu Bu^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	284	$\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu_\mu f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	464	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bh_{\mu\nu}\chi_+\rangle$ + h.c.
105	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D_\mu Bu^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	285	$\langle\bar{B}\sigma^{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda f_{+\mu\nu}u_\lambda\rangle$	465	$\langle\bar{B}h^{\mu\nu}\chi_+\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
106	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D^\lambda Bu_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	286	$\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}Bu^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$	466	$\langle\bar{B}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu D^\nu Bu_\nu\chi_{+\mu}\rangle$ + h.c.
107	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D^\lambda Bu_\nu f_{-\mu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	287	$\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}\sigma_\mu{}^\lambda Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	467	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\chi_+^\nu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\mu B\rangle$ + h.c.
108	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\gamma_5\gamma^\nu D^\lambda Bu_\lambda f_{-\mu\nu}\rangle$ + h.c.	288	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma_\mu{}^\nu Bf_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	468	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\rangle\langle f_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
109	$\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\lambda Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	289	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}Bf_{+\mu\nu}u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	469	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+\rangle\langle h^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
110	$\langle\bar{B}f_-^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\mu Bu_\nu u_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	290	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu\sigma^{\nu\lambda}Bf_{+\nu\lambda}u_\mu\rangle$ + h.c.	470	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_+^\mu\rangle\langle u^\nu\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D_\nu B\rangle$ + h.c.
111	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\gamma_5\gamma_\mu D^\lambda Bf_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	291	$\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}u_\mu\sigma_\nu{}^\lambda Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	471	$\langle\bar{B}B\chi_{+\mu}^\mu\rangle$
112	$\langle\bar{B}u^\mu u^\nu\gamma_5\gamma^\lambda D_\mu Bf_{-\nu\lambda}\rangle$ + h.c.	292	$\langle\bar{B}f_+^{\mu\nu}u^\lambda\sigma_{\mu\nu}Bu_\lambda\rangle$ + h.c.	472	$\langle\bar{B}\chi_{+\mu}^\mu B\rangle$

n	O_n	n	O_n	n	O_n
113	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D^\lambda B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	293	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \sigma_{\mu\lambda} B u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.	473	$\langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle \chi^\mu_{+\mu} \rangle$
114	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma^\lambda D_\nu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	294	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u_\mu \sigma^{\nu\lambda} B f_{+\nu\lambda} \rangle$	474	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu\nu} \chi_+ \rangle$ + h.c.
115	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	295	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \sigma_\mu{}^\lambda B f_{+\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	475	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \chi_+ \rangle$
116	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\mu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	296	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	476	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+ \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$
117	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B u_\mu \rangle$ + h.c.	297	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\mu \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	477	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \chi_+ \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
118	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u_\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	298	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\lambda \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.	478	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} \chi_+ \rangle$
119	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	299	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	479	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$
120	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu f_{-\mu}{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.	300	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda} u_\mu \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$	480	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+ \rangle \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
121	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu f_{-\nu}{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	301	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	481	$\langle \bar{B} B \chi_+^2 \rangle$
122	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu f_{-\nu}{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_\mu B \rangle$ + h.c.	302	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.	482	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+ B \chi_+ \rangle$
123	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	303	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B u_\mu f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	483	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+^2 B \rangle$
124	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.	304	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} D_\mu{}^\lambda B u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	484	$\langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle \chi_+^2 \rangle$
125	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\mu u^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	305	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu D_\mu{}^\nu B f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	485	$\langle \bar{B} B \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$
126	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\nu u^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	306	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu D^{\nu\lambda} B f_{+\mu\nu} u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	486	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ B \rangle$
127	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u^\lambda u_\mu h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	307	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu D_\nu{}^\lambda B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	487	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_+ B \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$
128	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u^\lambda u_\nu h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	308	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda D_{\mu\lambda} B u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.	488	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\mu u_\nu \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
129	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u^\lambda u_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ + h.c.	309	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu D_\mu{}^\lambda B f_{+\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	489	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\nu u_\mu \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
130	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\nu h_\mu{}^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	310	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	490	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\mu \chi_- u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.
131	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\nu B u^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	311	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\mu D_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	491	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\nu B u_\nu \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
132	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu B u^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	312	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda D_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	492	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu B u_\nu \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
133	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D^\lambda B u_\mu h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	313	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\mu\nu} u_\lambda u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	493	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.
134	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D^\lambda B u_\nu h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	314	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\mu\lambda} u_\nu u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	494	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
135	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D^\lambda B u_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ + h.c.	315	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\mu\lambda} u_\rho u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.	495	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\nu B u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.
136	$\langle \bar{B} h^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\lambda B u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	316	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B u_\mu f_{+\nu\lambda} u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	496	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu B u_\nu \rangle$ + h.c.
137	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\lambda B h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	317	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B u_\lambda f_{+\mu\nu} u_\rho \rangle$	497	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.
138	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma^\lambda D_\mu B h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle$ + h.c.	318	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B u_\lambda u_\rho \rangle$	498	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\mu B \rangle$ + h.c.
139	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h_\mu{}^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D^\lambda B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	319	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \sigma_\mu{}^\lambda D_\nu{}^\rho B u_\lambda u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	499	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_- u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.
140	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h_\mu{}^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma^\lambda D_\nu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	320	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \sigma_\mu{}^\nu D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\nu\lambda} u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	500	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
141	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	321	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \sigma^{\nu\lambda} D_\mu{}^\rho B f_{+\nu\lambda} u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	501	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B u_\mu u_\nu \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
142	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\mu B u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	322	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \sigma^{\nu\lambda} D_\mu{}^\rho B f_{+\nu\rho} u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	502	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \langle u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\mu B \rangle$ + h.c.
143	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u_\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	323	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \sigma_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda{}^\rho B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	503	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_- \rangle \langle u^\mu u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.
144	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu h_\mu{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	324	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \sigma_{\mu\lambda} D_\nu{}^\rho B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	504	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \langle u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.
145	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu h_\mu{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.	325	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \sigma_\mu{}^\rho D_{\nu\lambda} B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	505	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{-\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
146	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu h_\nu{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	326	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \sigma_\mu{}^\lambda D_\nu{}^\rho B f_{+\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	506	$\langle \bar{B} f_-^{\mu\nu} \chi_- \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
147	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu h_\nu{}^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_\mu B \rangle$ + h.c.	327	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \sigma^{\lambda\rho} D_{\mu\nu} B f_{+\lambda\rho} \rangle$	507	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B h_{\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
148	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h_\mu{}^\nu u^\lambda \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\lambda B \rangle$ + h.c.	328	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u^\rho \sigma_{\mu\nu} D_{\lambda\rho} B \rangle$ + h.c.	508	$i \langle \bar{B} h^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} B \chi_- \rangle$
149	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} D_\mu{}^\sigma B u_\nu u_\lambda f_{-\rho\sigma} \rangle$ + h.c.	329	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u^\rho \sigma_{\mu\lambda} D_{\nu\rho} B \rangle$ + h.c.	509	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_- D^{\mu\nu} B h_{\mu\nu} \rangle$
150	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} D_\mu{}^\sigma B u_\nu u_\sigma f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	330	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda u^\rho \sigma_{\mu\rho} D_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	510	$i \langle \bar{B} h^{\mu\nu} \chi_- D_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
151	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} D_\mu{}^\sigma B u_\sigma u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	331	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda} u^\rho \sigma_{\mu\nu} D_{\lambda\rho} B \rangle$ + h.c.	511	$i \langle \bar{B} B u^\mu \chi_{-\mu} \rangle$ + h.c.
152	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} D_\mu{}^\sigma B u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho} u_\sigma \rangle$ + h.c.	332	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_+^{\nu\lambda} u^\rho \sigma_{\nu\lambda} D_{\mu\rho} B \rangle$	512	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu B \chi_{-\mu} \rangle$
153	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu D_\nu{}^\sigma B u_\lambda f_{-\rho\sigma} \rangle$ + h.c.	333	$i \langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$	513	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_-^\mu B u_\mu \rangle$
154	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu D_\nu{}^\sigma B u_\sigma f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	334	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	514	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_{-\mu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
155	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u^\sigma D_{\mu\sigma} B u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	335	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	515	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B u_\mu \chi_{-\nu} \rangle$ + h.c.
156	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} f_{-\mu\nu} D_\lambda{}^\sigma B u_\rho u_\sigma \rangle$ + h.c.	336	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle f_+^{\nu\lambda} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	516	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \chi_-^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
157	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu u^\sigma D_{\nu\sigma} B f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	337	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	517	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- \rangle \langle f_-^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
158	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} D_\rho{}^\sigma B u_\sigma \rangle$ + h.c.	338	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} B f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda \rangle \langle u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	518	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle h_{\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle$
159	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu f_{-\nu}{}^\sigma D_{\lambda\sigma} B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	339	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda \sigma_{\mu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	519	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$
160	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u^\sigma f_{-\mu\nu} D_{\lambda\sigma} B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	340	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \rangle \langle f_{+\mu}{}^\nu u^\lambda \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	520	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_- \rangle \langle h^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
161	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu u_\nu f_{-\lambda}{}^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma} B \rangle$ + h.c.	341	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	521	$i \langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle u^\mu \chi_{-\mu} \rangle$
162	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu u^\sigma f_{-\nu\lambda} D_{\rho\sigma} B \rangle$ + h.c.	342	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\lambda \sigma_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	522	$i \langle \bar{B} B u^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_{-\mu} \rangle$
163	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u^\sigma u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} D_{\rho\sigma} B \rangle$ + h.c.	343	$i \langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$ + h.c.	523	$i \langle \bar{B} \chi_-^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu B \rangle$ + h.c.
164	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} u^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma} B \rangle$ + h.c.	344	$i \langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda D_{\mu\lambda} B \rangle$ + h.c.	524	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_-^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \sigma_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.
165	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} D_\mu{}^\sigma B u_\nu u_\lambda h_{\rho\sigma} \rangle$ + h.c.	345	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u_\lambda u_\rho \rangle$	525	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B f_{+\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle$ + h.c.
166	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu D_\nu{}^\sigma B u_\lambda h_{\rho\sigma} \rangle$ + h.c.	346	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u_\lambda u_\rho \rangle$	526	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \chi_- \rangle$
167	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu h_\nu{}^\sigma D_{\lambda\sigma} B u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	347	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_+^{\lambda\rho} \sigma_{\lambda\rho} D_{\mu\nu} B \rangle$ + h.c.	527	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$
168	$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} u_\mu u_\nu h_\lambda{}^\sigma D_{\rho\sigma} B \rangle$ + h.c.	348	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\lambda} u_\nu u_\rho \rangle$ + h.c.	528	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \chi_- \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle$ + h.c.
169	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho} B u_\nu u_\lambda f_{-\mu\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	349	$\langle \bar{B} \sigma^{\mu\nu} D^{\lambda\rho} B f_{+\mu\lambda} \rangle \langle u_\nu u_\rho \rangle$	529	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle$
170	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho} B u_\lambda f_{-\nu\rho} \rangle$ + h.c.	350	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_+^{\lambda\rho} \sigma_{\mu\lambda} D_{\nu\rho} B \rangle$ + h.c.	530	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$

n	O_n	n	O_n	n	O_n
171	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu f_-^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_{\mu\lambda}{}^\rho B u_\rho \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	351	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \rangle \langle f_+^{\nu\lambda} u^\rho \sigma_{\nu\rho} D_{\mu\lambda} B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	531	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- \rangle \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$
172	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu f_-^{\lambda\rho} \gamma_5 \gamma_\lambda D_{\mu\nu\rho} B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	352	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle f_+^{\lambda\rho} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} D_{\mu\rho} B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	532	$\langle \bar{B} B \chi_-^2 \rangle$
173	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho} B u_\mu u_\nu h_{\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	353	$\langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} u^\lambda \rangle \langle u^\rho \sigma_{\mu\rho} D_{\nu\lambda} B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	533	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- B \chi_- \rangle$
174	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho} B u_\nu u_\mu h_{\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	354	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} B f_{+\mu\nu} f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	534	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_-^2 B \rangle$
175	$\langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho} B u_\nu u_\lambda h_{\mu\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	355	$i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle \bar{B} f_{+\mu\nu} f_{-\lambda\rho} B \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	535	$\langle \bar{B} B \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$
176	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^{\nu\lambda\rho} B u_\nu h_{\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	356	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B f_{+\mu}{}^\lambda f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	536	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- B \rangle$
177	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho} B u_\nu h_{\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	357	$i \langle \bar{B} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu D^\nu B f_{+\nu}{}^\lambda f_{-\mu\lambda} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	537	$\langle \bar{B} \chi_- B \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$
178	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu \gamma_5 \gamma^\nu D_\mu{}^{\lambda\rho} B u_\lambda h_{\nu\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	358	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\lambda B f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle$	538	$\langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle \chi \chi^\dagger \rangle$
179	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu u^\nu \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_\nu{}^{\lambda\rho} B h_{\lambda\rho} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	359	$i \langle \bar{B} f_+^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma^\lambda D_\mu B f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle$	539	$\langle \bar{B} B \rangle \langle F_R^{\mu\nu} F_{R\mu\nu} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$
180	$\langle \bar{B} u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D_{\nu\lambda}{}^\rho B u_\rho \rangle + \text{h.c.}$	360	$i \langle \bar{B} f_-^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu D^\lambda B f_{+\nu\lambda} \rangle$	540	$\langle \bar{B} D^{\mu\nu} B \rangle \langle F_{R\mu}{}^\lambda F_{R\nu\lambda} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$

[1] Steven Weinberg, "Phenomenological Lagrangians," Physica **A 96**, 327–340 (1979).
[2] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, "Chiral perturbation theory to one loop," Ann. Phys. (NY) **158**, 142–210 (1984).
[3] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, "Chiral perturbation theory: Expansions in the mass of the strange quark," Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 465–516 (1985).
[4] J. Gasser, M. E. Sainio, and A. Švarc, "Nucleons with chiral loops," Nucl. Phys. B **307**, 779 (1988).
[5] H. W. Fearing and S. Scherer, "Extension of the chiral perturbation theory meson Lagrangian to order p^6," Phys. Rev. D **53**, 315–348 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9408346 [hep-ph].
[6] Johan Bijnens, Gilberto Colangelo, and Gerhard Ecker, "The mesonic chiral Lagrangian of order p^6," JHEP **9902**, 020 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9902437 [hep-ph].
[7] Christoph Haefeli, Mikhail A. Ivanov, Martin Schmid, and Gerhard Ecker, "On the mesonic Lagrangian of order p^6 in chiral SU(2)," (2007), arXiv:0705.0576 [hep-ph].
[8] T. Ebertshäuser, H. W. Fearing, and S. Scherer, "The anomalous chiral perturbation theory meson Lagrangian to order p^6 reexamined," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 054033 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0110261 [hep-ph].
[9] J. Bijnens, L. Girlanda, and P. Talavera, "The anomalous chiral Lagrangian of order p^6," Eur. Phys. J. C **23**, 539–544 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0110400 [hep-ph].
[10] Oscar Catà and Vicent Mateu, "Chiral perturbation theory with tensor sources," JHEP **0709**, 078 (2007), arXiv:0705.2948 [hep-ph].
[11] P. Herrera-Siklódy, J.I. Latorre, P. Pascual, and J. Taron, "Chiral effective lagrangian in the large N_c limit: the Nonet case," Nucl. Phys. B **497**, 345–386 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9610549 [hep-ph].
[12] Shao-Zhou Jiang, Feng-Jun Ge, and Qing Wang, "Full pseudoscalar mesonic chiral Lagrangian at p^6 order under the unitary group," Phys. Rev. D **89**, 074048 (2014), arXiv:1401.0317 [hep-ph].
[13] Andreas Krause, "Baryon matrix elements of the vector current in chiral perturbation theory," Helv. Phys. Acta **63**, 3–70 (1990).
[14] G. Ecker, "Chiral invariant renormalization of the pion-nucleon interaction," Phys. Lett. B **336**, 508–517 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9402337 [hep-ph].
[15] Nadia Fettes, Ulf-G. Meißner, and Sven Steininger, "Pion-nucleon scattering in chiral perturbation theory (i): Isospin-symmetric case," Nucl. Phys. A **640**, 199–234 (1998), hep-ph/9803266.
[16] Ulf-G. Meißner, Guido Muller, and Sven Steininger, "Renormalization of the chiral pion - nucleon Lagrangian beyond next-to-leading order," Ann. Phys. (NY) **279**, 1–64 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9809446 [hep-ph].
[17] Nadia Fettes, Ulf-G. Meißner, Martin Mojžiš, and Sven Steininger, "The Chiral effective pion nucleon Lagrangian of order p^4," Ann. Phys. (NY) **283**, 273–302 (2000), erratum Ann. Phys. (NY) 288 (2001) 249-250, arXiv:hep-ph/0001308 [hep-ph].
[18] José Antonio Oller, Michela Verbeni, and Joaquim Prades, "Meson-baryon effective chiral Lagrangians to $\mathcal{O}(q^3)$," JHEP **0609**, 079 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0608204 [hep-ph].
[19] M. Frink and U.-G. Meißner, "On the chiral effective meson-baryon Lagrangian at third order," Eur. Phys. J. A **29**, 255–260 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0609256 [hep-ph].
[20] Véronique Bernard, Norbert Kaiser, Joachim Kambor, and Ulf-G. Meißner, "Chiral structure of the nucleon," Nucl. Phys. B **388**, 315–345 (1992).
[21] G. Ecker and M. Mojžiš, "Low-energy expansion of the pion - nucleon lagrangian," Phys. Lett. B **365**, 312–318 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9508204 [hep-ph].
[22] Robert Baur and Joachim Kambor, "Generalized heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory," Eur. Phys. J. C **7**, 507–524 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9803311 [hep-ph].
[23] Yan-Rui Liu and Shi-Lin Zhu, "Meson-baryon scattering lengths in HB chi PT," Phys. Rev. D **75**, 034003 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0607100 [hep-ph].
[24] Paul J. Ellis and Hua-Bin Tang, "Pion nucleon scattering in a new approach to chiral perturbation theory," Phys. Rev. C **57**, 3356–3375 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9709354 [hep-ph].

[25] Thomas Becher and H. Leutwyler, "Baryon chiral perturbation theory in manifestly Lorentz invariant form," Eur. Phys. J. C **9**, 643–671 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9901384 [hep-ph].

[26] T. Fuchs, J. Gegelia, G. Japaridze, and S. Scherer, "Renormalization of relativistic baryon chiral perturbation theory and power counting," Phys. Rev. D **68**, 056005 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0302117 [hep-ph].

[27] Bastian Kubis and Ulf-G. Meissner, "Low-energy analysis of the nucleon electromagnetic form-factors," Nucl. Phys. A **679**, 698–734 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0007056 [hep-ph].

[28] Bastian Kubis and Ulf G. Meissner, "Baryon form-factors in chiral perturbation theory," Eur. Phys. J. C **18**, 747–756 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0010283 [hep-ph].

[29] Shi-Lin Zhu, S. Puglia, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, "Recoil order chiral corrections to baryon octet axial currents," Phys. Rev. D **63**, 034002 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0009159 [hep-ph].

[30] Shi-Lin Zhu, G. Sacco, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, "Recoil order chiral corrections to baryon octet axial currents and large N(c) QCD," Phys. Rev. D **66**, 034021 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0201179 [hep-ph].

[31] Matthias Frink and Ulf-G. Meißner, "Chiral extrapolations of baryon masses for unquenched three flavor lattice simulations," JHEP **0407**, 028 (2004), arXiv:hep-lat/0404018 [hep-lat].

[32] Matthias R. Schindler, Jambul Gegelia, and Stefan Scherer, "Infrared regularization of baryon chiral perturbation theory reformulated," Phys. Lett. B **586**, 258–266 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0309005 [hep-ph].

[33] Matthias R. Schindler, Jambul Gegelia, and Stefan Scherer, "Electromagnetic form-factors of the nucleon in chiral perturbation theory including vector mesons," Eur. Phys. J. A **26**, 1–5 (2005), arXiv:nucl-th/0509005 [nucl-th].

[34] L. S. Geng, J. Martin Camalich, L. Alvarez-Ruso, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, "Leading SU(3)-breaking corrections to the baryon magnetic moments in Chiral Perturbation Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 222002 (2008), arXiv:0805.1419 [hep-ph].

[35] J. M. Alarcon, J. Martin Camalich, J. A. Oller, and L. Alvarez-Ruso, "πN scattering in relativistic baryon chiral perturbation theory revisited," Phys. Rev. C **83**, 055205 (2011), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.C87,no.5,059901(2013)], arXiv:1102.1537 [nucl-th].

[36] J. M. Alarcon, J. Martin Camalich, and J. A. Oller, "The chiral representation of the πN scattering amplitude and the pion-nucleon sigma term," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 051503 (2012), arXiv:1110.3797 [hep-ph].

[37] J. M. Alarcon, J. Martin Camalich, and J. A. Oller, "Improved description of the πN-scattering phenomenology in covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory," Annals Phys. **336**, 413–461 (2013), arXiv:1210.4450 [hep-ph].

[38] Yun-Hua Chen, De-Liang Yao, and H. Q. Zheng, "Analyses of pion-nucleon elastic scattering amplitudes up to $O(p^4)$ in extended-on-mass-shell subtraction scheme," Phys. Rev. D **87**, 054019 (2013), arXiv:1212.1893 [hep-ph].

[39] Zhi-Feng Sun and M. J. Vicente Vacas, "Masses of doubly charmed baryons in the extended on-mass-shell renormalization scheme," Phys. Rev. D **93**, 094002 (2016), arXiv:1602.04714 [hep-ph].

[40] Judith A. McGovern and Michael C. Birse, "Absence of fifth-order contributions to the nucleon mass in heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory," Phys. Lett. B **446**, 300–305 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9807384 [hep-ph].

[41] Judith A. McGovern and Michael C. Birse, "Convergence of the chiral expansion for the nucleon mass," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 097501 (2006), arXiv:hep-lat/0608002 [hep-lat].

[42] Matthias R. Schindler, Dalibor Djukanovic, Jambul Gegelia, and Stefan Scherer, "Chiral expansion of the nucleon mass to order $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$," Phys. Lett. B **649**, 390–393 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0612164 [hep-ph].

[43] Matthias R. Schindler, Dalibor Djukanovic, Jambul Gegelia, and Stefan Scherer, "Infrared renormalization of two-loop integrals and the chiral expansion of the nucleon mass," Nucl. Phys. A **803**, 68–114 (2008), arXiv:0707.4296 [hep-ph].

[44] X.-L. Ren, L. S. Geng, J. Martin Camalich, J. Meng, and H. Toki, "Octet baryon masses in next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory," JHEP **1212**, 073 (2012), arXiv:1209.3641 [nucl-th].

[45] B. Kubis and U.-G. Meißner, "Baryon form-factors in chiral perturbation theory," Eur. Phys. J. C **18**, 747–756 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0010283 [hep-ph].

[46] Shao-Zhou Jiang, Ying Zhang, Chuan Li, and Qing Wang, "Computation of the p^6 order chiral lagrangian coefficients," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014001 (2010), arXiv:0907.5229 [hep-ph].

[47] Shao-Zhou Jiang and Qing Wang, "Computation of the coefficients for p^6 order anomalous chiral lagrangian," Phys. Rev. D **81**, 094037 (2010), arXiv:1001.0315 [hep-ph].

[48] Shao-Zhou Jiang, Ying Zhang, and Qing Wang, "Computation of the p^6 order low-energy constants with tensor sources," Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094014 (2013), arXiv:1203.0712 [hep-ph].

[49] Shao-Zhou Jiang, Zhen-Long Wei, Qing-Sen Chen, and Qing Wang, "Computation of the $o(p^6)$ order low-energy constants: An update," Phys. Rev. D **92**, 025014 (2015), arXiv:1502.05087 [hep-ph].

[50] V. Bernard, Norbert Kaiser, and Ulf-G. Meissner, "Aspects of chiral pion - nucleon physics," Nucl. Phys. A **615**, 483–500 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9611253 [hep-ph].

[51] Mingxing Luo, Yong Wang, and Guohuai Zhu, "Unitarity constraints on effective interaction in pi N scattering," Phys. Lett. B **649**, 162–165 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0611325 [hep-ph].

[52] Juan Jose Sanz-Cillero, De-Liang Yao, and Han-Qing Zheng, "Positivity constraints on the low-energy constants of the chiral pion-nucleon Lagrangian," Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2763 (2014), arXiv:1312.0664 [hep-ph].

[53] Meng-Lin Du, Feng-Kun Guo, Ulf-G. Meißner, and De-Liang Yao, "Aspects of the low-energy constants in the chiral Lagrangian for charmed mesons," (2016), arXiv:1610.02963 [hep-ph].

[54] Johan Bijnens, "CHIRON: a package for ChPT numerical results at two loops," Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 27 (2015).

[55] Stefan Scherer and Matthias R. Schindler, *A Primer for Chiral Perturbation Theory*, Vol. 830 (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012) pp. 1–338.

[56] Nadia Fettes and Ulf G. Meissner, "Pion - nucleon scattering in an effective chiral field theory with explicit spin 3/2 fields," Nucl. Phys. **A679**, 629–670 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0006299 [hep-ph].